Title:	Central Schools Services Budgets
Date:	October 2017
Author:	John Huskinson, Finance Director for Education
Contact officer:	John Huskinson, jhuskinson@buckscc.gov.uk, 01296 382384

Schools affected:

All schools

1. Purpose of this report

1.1. This report sets out the proposals for the central schools services block budgets for 2018/19.

2. Background

- 2.1. The Council is required to consult with Schools Forum on the proposals for central schools services block.
- 2.2. The Department for Education (DfE) has created a new block called Central Schools Services Block which includes activities previously included in the Schools Block (Ex ESG funding and Licenses).
- 2.3. In 2017/18 the Schools Forum agreed to the transfer of £15/pupil to the Council to cover the loss of Education Services Grant funded services that benefit all schools. (Dedelegation proposals set out in a separate paper cover activities that benefit only maintained schools).
- 2.4. The DfE is moving to a formula based on per pupil allocations, plus a smaller amount related to deprivation. The amount of central funding is reducing by 2.5% per pupil per year until 20120/21 when the amounts are expected to drop to the reduced per pupil funding in full.
- 2.5. Central schools services block comprises two parts;
 - 2.5.1. Ongoing functions -based on the formula per pupil
 - 2.5.2. Historical commitments -based on evidence of ongoing commitments.
- 2.6. The DfE requested information on both of these in 2017 as part of their baselining for 2018/19. In this baselining exercise the DfE appears to have underfunded Buckinghamshire by c £0.7m. The Council is disputing this with the DfE.
- 2.7. The Council had identified over £400k of efficiencies necessary to manage the budgets within the reduced block allocations but had not allowed for this baselining issue.
- 2.8. If the baselining issues are not resolved satisfactorily the Council will need to use the Dedicated Schools Grant reserve to offset these pressures. There is c £1m in reserve and this was intended to support high needs budget pressures.

3. Recommendations

- 3.1. That the Schools Forum notes the budgets for the central schools services block and the pressure on these and provides support if needed in challenging the budgets with the DfE.
- 3.2. That the Schools Forum agree the use of £0.411m of DSG reserve to balance the 2018/19 central schools services block if the DfE do not resolve the baselining issue.

3.3. To support the budget proposals in this report.

4. Detailed proposals

- 4.1. The detailed budget proposals and best estimate of funding allocations from the DfE are set out in *Appendix 1*. These will change slightly with pupil changes but the final allocations will not be known until December 2017.
- 4.2. The detailed budget proposals highlight the efficiencies made and the use of DSG reserve if funding baselining issues are not resolved satisfactorily. If the DfE adjusts the baseline as the Council would hope, c £750k of additional funding would be provided in 2018/19. This would leave c £0.4m of funding available to support the further downward transition in funding over the next three years.
- 4.3. The final expected allocation for ongoing central support is c £2.432m per annum (Based on 72,325 pupils @ £28.84 +11% area cost adjustment PLUS (9,444 Free school meal (ever 6) pupils @ £11.99 +11% area cost adjustment). This is £1.1m less than the funding in 2017/18.
- 4.4. The funding per pupil is reducing by 2.5% per annum until 2020/21 when the full formula will be in place. It is assumed that there will be no further transitional protection at that point.
- 4.5. The Council cannot manage the services provided without significant reductions in the budgets of the activities funded. These will have to be considered by the Council through their Medium term Financial Planning Process. Significant reductions have already been delivered in legal admissions from a change in arrangements and the final non-SEND pupil with a contribution from DSG has now left.
- 4.6. The historical commitments funding is expected to reduce by c £1.7m as the return to the DfE in 2016 clearly stated that capital funding commitments only were in place until 2017/18. The contribution to capital will stop, matched by the reduction expected in historical commitments funding from the DfE.
- 4.7. As a result of the capital commitment being funded by the DfE in 2016/17 and 2017/18 the Council was able to release revenue funding that was held for the capital programme back into schools funding to support pressures especially in high needs budgets. This was reported to Schools Forum in 2017 and Forum supported this. It is this funding that has c £1m remaining in reserve. This cannot fund both the shortfall in central schools services block and high needs block, if DfE do not agree to correct the baselining position.
- 4.8. If the DfE reduces the historical commitments funding it supports in future years the greatest impact will be on the services provided by Buckinghamshire Learning Trust, which receives the largest share of the historical committeeman's funding. The impact of any reduction would be addressed if this situation arises.
- 4.9. Historical Premature retirement costs are unavoidable for many years and the Council would need to absorb this in future. The services provided by Aspire would also be at risk. These are closely linked to high needs block activity which already has pressures as reported in a separate paper.

5. Conclusion

5.1. Managing the central schools services block within the funding allocation is going to be a challenge for the Council made greater by baselining issues. The DfE intention to move to an amount per pupil is reducing funding immediately and will significant impact on future services funded centrally.

Appendix 1 – detailed budget proposals and best estimates of funding allocations

		Proposed			2018-19		
Pudget requirer	ment for control schools convises block	2017-18 budget	2017-18	2017-18	Proposed budget	Change	Notos
Budget requiren	nent for central schools services block	budget	forecast	variance	budget	Change	Notes Minor increase depending on
Ex Education							pupil numbers on final census,
Services Grant	Contribution (£15/pupil) to BCC for ex						but should also be reflected on
function	Education Services grant funded activity.	£1,178,000	£1,178,000	£0	£1,178,000	£0	funding side
	Centrally managed licenses on behalf of all						
	schools. Increase to allow for growth in pupils						assume no increase, but DfE will
Licences	and inflationary uplift in charges	£397,000	£397,000	£0	£397,000		not advise until Dec 2017
	Staffing to deal with Admissions process	£890,000	£890,000	£0	£890,000	£0	
	Last year £60k went to Wycombe high school for teaching talent and £55k went to BLT. BLT						Reduced in 2017.18 to £85k
BASL & TSA	now get sponsorship for annual conference	£85,000	£85,000	£0	£85,000	£0	total
Independent Schools -	- Need to set up a separate cost centre for this -	200,000	200,000	20	200,000	20	all children previously funded
non SEN	and move budget	£250,000	£0	-£250,000	£0	-£250,000	
	cost of room hire and F40 annual fee. Room						
Management of	hire is a top slice included in other lines as is						
Schools Forum	democratic services support	£2,000	£2,000	£0	£2,000	£0	
Safeguarding in	Staffing to train teachers / governors and						
Education	ensure schools are complying	£210,000	£215,000	£5,000	£210,000	£0	minor inflation re cost increases
							Reduced due to change in legal
Legal (Admissions	Legal costs associated with admission	6240.000	6140.000	0000 000	6440.000	<u></u>	arrangements/ in house work
Appeals)	appeals	£319,000	£119,000	-£200,000	£119,000	-£200,000	prior to legal no change. If there is a pressure
	Contribution to overheads of council relating						on this budget BCC will have to
Central overheads	to activities supporting DSG	£230,000	£264,000	£34,000	£264,000	£34,000	
Contrat overheads		2200,000	2204,000	204,000	2204,000	204,000	Need to use DSG reserves
							unless win argument with DfE
DSG reserve	Contribution from DSG reserve	£0	£0	£0	-£363,325	-£363,325	about incorrect baseline
						,	DfE imposed efficiency savings
							plus baselining issue being
							challenged by BCC. 77,325
	Funding for ongoing functions (draft						pupils @ £38.46 per pupil (2.5%
Funding from DfE	allocation from DfE)		-£3,561,000	£0	-£2,781,675		reduction)
	Net ongoing functions	£0	-£411,000	-£411,000	£0	£0	
Budget reg	uirement for historic commitments						
Bucks Learning Trust							
Contribution to	Contribution to BLT for range of overheads						
Combined	and wider support to all schools	£2,311,000	£2,311,000	£0	£2,311,000	£0	
Combined					LZ,311,000	20	
1			22,011,000	20	22,311,000	20	
			22,011,000	20	22,311,000		£0.7m needed to balance the
				2	22,311,000		
			~_,0 ,000	2	22,311,000	L0	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC
	Council contributed £1.7m to DSG services in						£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on
	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital				£2,311,000		£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent.
	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High	04 700 000					£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in
Capital contribution	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures	£1,708,000		£0			£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent.
	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of	£1,708,000					£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in
Practical Learning	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High <u>Needs budget pressures</u> Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by		£1,708,000	£0	£0	<u>-£1,708,000</u>	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in
Practical Learning Opportunities	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of	£1,708,000 £224,000					£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High <u>Needs budget pressures</u> Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE		£1,708,000 £224,000	£0	£0 £224,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments
Practical Learning Opportunities	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High <u>Needs budget pressures</u> Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by	£224,000	£1,708,000	£0	£0	<u>-£1,708,000</u>	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSG reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High <u>Needs budget pressures</u> Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE	£224,000	£1,708,000 £224,000	£0	£0 £224,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High <u>Needs budget pressures</u> Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE	£224,000	£1,708,000 £224,000	£0	£0 £224,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000	£0 £0 £0 £49,000	£224,000 £139,000 £320,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0 £0 £49,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each	£224,000 £139,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000	0£ £0 £0	£0 £224,000 £139,000	-£1,708,000 £0 £0	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000	£0 £0 £0 £49,000	£224,000 £139,000 £320,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0 £0 £49,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000	£0 £0 £0 £49,000	£224,000 £139,000 £320,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0 £0 £49,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000	£0 £0 £0 £49,000	£224,000 £139,000 £320,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0 £0 £49,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000	£0 £0 £0 £49,000	£224,000 £139,000 £320,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0 £0 £49,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000	£0 £0 £0 £49,000	£224,000 £139,000 £320,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0 £0 £49,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital Programme of Historic
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC Rounding	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year rounding of figures	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000 £1,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0	£0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000	£0 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0	-£1,708,000 £0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSG reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital Programme of Historic commitment funding from DfE
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000	£0 £0 £0 £49,000	£224,000 £139,000 £320,000	-£1,708,000 £0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital Programme of Historic commitment funding from DfE had not been agreed
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC Rounding	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year rounding of figures	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000 £1,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0	£0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000	£0 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0	-£1,708,000 £0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSC reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital Programme of Historic commitment funding from DfE had not been agreed Expect that DfE will not fund the
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC Rounding DSG reserve	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year rounding of figures	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000 £1,000 £1,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0 -£48,000	£0 £0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000	£0 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0 -£48,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0 £49,000 -£1,000 -£48,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSG reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital Programme of Historic commitment funding from DfE had not been agreed Expect that DfE will not fund the capital contribution as this was
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC Rounding	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year rounding of figures	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000 £1,000 £1,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0	£0 £0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000	£0 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0 £49,000 -£1,000 -£48,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSG reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital Programme of Historic commitment funding from DfE had not been agreed Expect that DfE will not fund the
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC Rounding DSG reserve	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year rounding of figures Contribution from DSG reserve Historic commitments funding baseline	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000 £1,000 £1,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0 -£48,000 -£4,654,000	£0 £0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000 -£48,000 £0	£0 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0 -£48,000	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0 £49,000 -£1,000 £1,708,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSG reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital Programme of Historic commitment funding from DfE had not been agreed Expect that DfE will not fund the capital contribution as this was
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC Rounding DSG reserve	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year rounding of figures Contribution from DSG reserve Historic commitments funding baseline	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000 £1,000 £1,000	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0 -£48,000 -£4,654,000	£0 £0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000 -£48,000 £0	£0 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0 -£48,000 £0 -£2,946,000 £0	<u>-£1,708,000</u> £0 £49,000 -£1,000 £1,708,000	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSG reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital Programme of Historic commitment funding from DfE had not been agreed Expect that DfE will not fund the capital contribution as this was stated as ending in 2017/18.
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC Rounding DSG reserve	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year rounding of figures Contribution from DSG reserve Historic commitments funding baseline Net historical commitment total funding expected from DfE total spend proposals by BCC excluding	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000 £1,000 £1,000 £0 -£4,654,000 £0	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0 -£48,000 £0 -£4,654,000 £0 -£8,215,000	£0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000 -£48,000 £0 £0 £0	£0 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0 -£48,000 £0 -£2,946,000 £0 -£5,727,675	-£1,708,000 £0 £49,000 -£1,000 £1,708,000 £2,487,325	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSG reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital Programme of Historic commitment funding from DfE had not been agreed Expect that DfE will not fund the capital contribution as this was stated as ending in 2017/18.
Practical Learning Opportunities Raising Participation Age Schools PRC Rounding DSG reserve	lieu of contribution from DSG to capital schemes. This was ring-fenced to High Needs budget pressures Subsidy of cost to send pupils at risk of exclusion to PRU. Service provided by ASPIRE Service provided by ASPIRE Historic pension costs which get inflated each year rounding of figures Contribution from DSG reserve Historic commitments funding baseline Net historical commitment total funding expected from DfE	£224,000 £139,000 £271,000 £1,000 £1,000 £0 £0 £0 £0	£1,708,000 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0 -£48,000 £0 -£4,654,000 £0 -£8,215,000 £7,852,000	£0 £0 £49,000 -£1,000 -£48,000 £0 £0 £0	£0 £224,000 £139,000 £320,000 £0 -£48,000 £0 -£2,946,000 £0 -£5,727,675	-£1,708,000 £0 £49,000 -£1,000 £1,708,000 £1,708,000 £0	£0.7m needed to balance the pressure on high needs in 2017/18 and £1m added to DSG reserve for future pressures on high needs. Non recurrent. Matched by reduction by DfE in funding of historic commitments pressure due to pension revaluations etc., but cannot increase in operational guidance Drawdown from DSG reserve created by BCC passing back £1.7m of revenue funding it would have put into the Capital Programme of Historic commitment funding from DfE had not been agreed Expect that DfE will not fund the capital contribution as this was stated as ending in 2017/18.